

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/659,872	09/13/2000	Hartmund Terletzki	00P7882US	7001
7:	590 10/14/2003		EXAM	INER
IRA S. MATSIL, ESQ.			NGUYEN, MINH T	
SLATER & M.	ATSIL, L.L.P.			
17950 PRESTON ROAD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1000			2816	
DALLAS, TX	75252		DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	Application N .	Applicant(s)
' Advisory Action	09/659,872	TERLETZKI ET AL.
nancery near.	Examiner	Art Unit
<b>*</b>	Minh Nguyen	2816
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a period of the section under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate) at imely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: 2-8.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 9 and 15-30.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		1292 10/9/9
		Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are still believed to be proper, therefore, are maintained.